IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 6187 OF 2005
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 312 OF 2005

Mr. Altaf Nazir Shaikh ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr. N.U. Khan, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. R.S. Khadapkar, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 27TH SEPTEMBER, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard both sides.

2. The applicant is seeking bail. The sentence of imprisonment imposed on the applicant is only one year.

3. It is stated by the learned advocate for the applicant that the applicant was on bail pending the trial and this liberty has not been misused by him. This statement has not been controverted by the prosecution. It is further submitted by the learned advocate for the applicant that the maximum sentence imposed on the applicant is one year and the matter

is not likely to be heard within the said time.

4. The Supreme Court in the case of **Bhagwan Rama Gosai and Ors. Vs. State of Gujrat reported in (1999) 4 SCC 421,** has observed that if the sentence is of limited duration and the matter cannot be heard expeditiously, then bail should be granted. It is not possible to hear the present matter expeditiously. In view of all the facts and circumstances, I am inclined to grant bail.

5. The applicant Altaf Nazir Shaikh to be released on bail in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one or two sureties to make the said amount. The applicant shall report on second and fourth Sunday every month to Tardeo police station, during the pendency of this Revision Application.

5. Application is disposed of.

*****